

Compliance is not equal to Quality: An Emerging Regulatory Paradigm

Daniela Drago, Ph.D., RAC

Assistant Professor. Director, Regulatory Affairs School of Medicine and Health Sciences
The George Washington University

Compliance is not Quality



Compliance ≠ Quality

"...one device manufacturer can meet FDA requirements and still make a poor quality device whereas

a second manufacturer may not comply with all FDA requirements and yet make a high-quality device"

Jeff Shuren, M.D., J.D., Director CDRH

***NOTE: Compliance to regulations is still important, as it is required – a high quality product is not a substitute for a compliant product under our current statutory situation

The Case for Quality



The Case for Quality



Paradigm Shift

FDA Regulatory Paradigm Shift



What does a focus on quality mean for FDA?

Increased manufacturing and product confidence

Faster time to markets, better information to drive regulatory decisions, improved resource allocation

What is most important to patients

Program changes beyond inspections:

Remove participants from the agency work plan for routine inspections

Waive pre-approval inspections where appropriate

Engagement and meetings on issue resolution

Reduced submission requirements and faster FDA response

Accelerated approval path

Competitive market around product excellence

Compliance is not equal to Quality.

A *new regulatory paradigm* is emerging. It promotes an improved culture of quality that *emphasizes patient safety and product quality* across the product lifecycle. The *goals are shared among stakeholders*.



My Contact Information



Assistant Professor. Director, Regulatory Affairs

School of Medicine and Health Sciences

The George Washington University

2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 362

Washington, DC 20037

<u>drago@gwu.edu</u> | 202-994-9893

http://smhs.gwu.edu/regulatory-affairs/



